

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,265	03/28/2001	Wolfgang Fraas	P01,0047	6597
21171	7590 12/13/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HARPER, KEVIN C	
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		2616	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SK.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/806,265	FRAAS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin C. Harper	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuton  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a litton.  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>09 November 2006</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 10-14 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection	*	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner, Note the attached	d Office Action of form PTO-192.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 443)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> .

Art Unit: 2616

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed No ember 9, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lemieux in view of Pasternak.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemieux (US 6,052,371) in view of Pasternak et al. (US 5,710,756).

1. Regarding claims 8-9 and 15, Lemieux discloses a method for transmitting data from communications terminals (fig. 12, items 126; fig. 13, items 146) to a switching system (items 122 or 142) via a packet-oriented network (item 12). The method comprises setting up a data format formed of substructural elements for a data transmission between a switching system and several communication terminals (fig. 3, item 46; col. 7, lines 44-63), and communication terminals being connected to a packet-oriented network via a hub (fig. 12, item 124; fig. 13, item 144) with subscriber interfaces for each of the terminals (fig. 12, item 128; fig. 13, items 146), the switching system being connected to the packet-oriented network via an access unit (item 14) separate from the hub. The method further comprises transmitting data in a form of substructural elements to the hub by the communication terminals (fig. 3, item 46), inserting the substructural elements from several users into common data packets (col. 7, lines 3-18 and lines 48-50), transmitting the data packets to the access unit via the packet-oriented network (col. 7, lines 13-

Art Unit: 2616

- 19), extracting the substructural elements from the data packets by the access unit (col. 7, lines 19-28), and forwarding the substructural elements to the switching system by the access unit (col. 7, lines 28-30). Further regarding claim 9, the communication is bidirectional (fig. 12).
- 2. However, Lemieux does not disclose transmitting data by the hub. Pasternak discloses an integrated hub and packet access node (fig. 4, item 41; col. 6, lines 1-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the functionality of the access node and concentrator of Lemieux so that substructural elements are inserted into data packets by a hub device in order to provide an integrated device having enhanced functionality as is known in the art (Pasternak, col. 6, lines 2-4).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent

Application/Control Number: 09/806,265

Art Unit: 2616

Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

Page 4

December 9, 2006